

TYROLIT AG (GROUP)

Schwaz - Austria | Manufacture of special-purpose machinery

Company size: L | Assessment scope: **Group**

Overall score

63/100

Percentile

60th



Scorecard

Publication date: 30 Jul 2026 (Revised:7 Oct 2026) Valid until: 30 Jul 2027



Environment

Impact on score ●●●○

80/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Environmental policy on energy consumption and GHGs
Environmental policy on product use
Quantitative targets set on energy consumption and GHGs
Environmental policy on air pollution
Environmental policy scope of application specified
Dedicated responsibility for environmental policy
Comprehensive policy on a majority of environmental issues
Environmental policy on water
Environmental policy on customer health and safety
Environmental policy on product end-of-life
Environmental policy on materials, chemicals, and waste

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend environmental policy
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on water
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on air pollution
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on materials, chemicals, and waste

Environment | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● 100/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)
Endorsement of external initiative on environmental issues
Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Targets Set

Environment | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● 75/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Company-specific research on potential health impacts of products/services
Technologies or practices to recycle or reuse water
Use of waste heat recovery system(s) or combined heat and power unit(s)
Adoption of cooling systems with reduced or recycled water consumption
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate emissions of VOC, SO2, NOx or heavy metals
Use of eco-friendly or bio-based process materials
Reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose
Internal sorting & disposal of waste according to waste streams
Studies on product environmental impact
Design aimed at reducing resource input required for product use
Provision of service parts, diagnostic tools, or maintenance programs to support product repair
Design features or machinery modification incorporated to reduce friction and heat loss during operation
Actions to prevent emissions of atmospheric pollutants and other environmental nuisances (e.g. noise, odor, vibration, road and light)
Reduction of material consumption through process optimization
Training of employees on waste reduction and sorting
Mapping of waste streams
Purchase and/or generation of renewable energy
Improvement of energy efficiency through technology or equipment upgrades
Energy and/or carbon audit
Company awareness program for customers on health & safety issues associated with products/services

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions for labeling, storing, handling, and transporting hazardous substances
Low priority	No supporting evidence of specialized treatment and safe disposal of hazardous substances or waste
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions regarding environmental impacts from product or packaging end-of-life
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a collaboration with external stakeholders for product end-of-life management
Low priority	No supporting evidence of an information on proper disposal of product to promote circular material flow
Low priority	No supporting evidence of wastewater quality assessment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the implementation of a rainwater harvesting system
Low priority	No supporting evidence of work processes or technologies to mitigate emissions of particulate matter
Low priority	No supporting evidence of work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate noise
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the execution of a water basin risk assessment for the company's operations
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the integration of adaptive practices into operational processes to withstand environmental disruptions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the execution of an assessment of external environmental risks for the company's operations
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the implementation of resilient facility infrastructure to withstand environmental hazards
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on energy conservation and climate action
Low priority	No supporting evidence of environmental emergency measures
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a design for easy disassembling, remanufacturing, or recycling
Low priority	No supporting evidence of an information on efficient use, storage, and maintenance to extend product life
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a water audit
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the execution of a physical climate risk assessment for the company's operations
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the establishment of emergency reserves or safety nets for environmental disruptions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the allocation of dedicated budgets or capital investments for environmental resilience
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions implemented to address external environmental disruptions

Environment | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 100/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 14001 certified
ISO 50001 certified
Specific environmental certification

Environment | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 100/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It's a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Strengths

Supporting documents show an exceptional level of coverage of environmental actions or certification throughout company operations
Environmental management system certification covers between 51% and 75% of the assessed scope

Environment | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total water consumption
Reporting on total weight of waste recovered
Reporting on total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions
Reporting in accordance with GRI Universal Standards
Total gross market-based Scope 2 GHG emissions value confirmed in supporting documentation
Reporting on total gross market-based Scope 2 GHG emissions
Total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Declares some sites/operations located in or near biodiversity-sensitive areas, but the activities do not negatively affect those areas (not verified)
Company reports to CDP
Reporting on total weight of hazardous waste
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Reporting on total weight of non-hazardous waste
Reporting on total amount of renewable energy consumed
Reporting on total energy consumption
Standard reporting on environmental issues

Improvement areas

Medium priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of air pollutants
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total amount of water recycled and reused
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the percentage of WEEE collected out of the total EEE placed on the market
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross location-based Scope 2 GHG emissions

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (5)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

Ditch Carbon score of Tyrolit

ditchcarbon.com | 16 Jul 2026

Tyrolit received a score of 18 from Ditch Carbon. This is lower than 39% of the industry.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Severity

Neutral

valid from Jul 2026 to Aug 2031



2026 Government Credit Rating for Sisa (Qingdao) Abrasives [CN]

www.blue-ecochain.com | 01 Jan 2026

For 2026, Sisa (Qingdao) Abrasives was rated green by the Corporate Environmental Credit Rating System in Shandong. Green indicates that the company complied with all legal requirements, did not receive community complaints, earned full credit on evaluation indicators within the past 3 years AND participated in more than 2 voluntary activities including obtaining ISO 14001 certification, participating in Cleaner Production audit, supporting community NGO or engaging in responsible purchasing.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Severity

Neutral

valid from Jan 2026 to Feb 2031



2025 Government Credit Rating for Sisa (Qingdao) Abrasives [CN]

www.blue-ecochain.com | 01 Jan 2025

For 2025, Sisa (Qingdao) Abrasives was rated green by the Corporate Environmental Credit Rating System in Shandong. Green indicates that the company complied with all legal requirements, did not receive community complaints, earned full credit on evaluation indicators within the past 3 years AND participated in more than 2 voluntary activities including obtaining ISO 14001 certification, participating in Cleaner Production audit, supporting community NGO or engaging in responsible purchasing.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Severity

Neutral

valid from Jan 2025 to Feb 2030



Excellent expertise in corporate climate protection [DE]

www.meinbezirk.at | 26 Jun 2023

Tyrolit was recently recognized for its pioneering work in shaping climate-friendly mobility within its own operations – specifically with the commissioning of its electric truck fleet – at the “THE PEP Partnership & Klimaaktiv mobil Conference” in Vienna. Christian Miklin, Head of Supply Chain Management at Tyrolit, accepted the award from Federal Minister for Climate Action Leonore Gewessler and representatives of the Austrian Association of Cities and Towns and the Austrian Federal Economic Chamber.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Severity

Neutral

valid from Jun 2023 to Jul 2028



No records found for this company on Compliance Database

22 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

61/100

Labor & Human Rights | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Labor and human rights policy on social dialogue
Labor and human rights policy on working conditions
Labor and human rights policy on employee health and safety
Labor and human rights policy on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking
Dedicated responsibility for labor and human rights policy
Labor and human rights policy scope of application specified
Committed to continuously pay a living wage
Standard policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues
Labor and human rights policy on career management and training
Labor and human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on labor and human rights issues
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend labor and human rights policy
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on preventing discrimination and harassment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on career management and training
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on employee health and safety
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on working conditions

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

100/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Endorsement of external initiative on labor or human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

●

50/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Impact assessments identifying potential child labor, forced labor and/or human trafficking

Employee health and safety emergency action plan

Actions to address stress and psychological wellbeing in the workplace

Equipment safety inspections or audits

Health care coverage of employees in place

Actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase

Employee representatives or employee representative body (e.g. works council)

Family Friendly programs (FFPs) implemented (e.g. parental or care leaves, childcare services or allowances)

Skills development program tailored to employee needs

Complaints procedure in place for employees to report on occupational health and safety issues

Actions to control hazardous substance exposure

Flexible organization of work (eg. remote work, flexi-time)

Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices

Individual development and career plan for all employees

The company declares its main operation(s) is located in a region where the right to freedom of association is not restricted by local law/regulation (not verified)

Actions to manage working hours and overtime

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to promote internal mobility
Low priority	No supporting evidence of regular assessment of individual performance
Low priority	No supporting evidence of grievance mechanism on child labor, forced labor, or human trafficking
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions regarding living wage
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to protect young workers
Low priority	No supporting evidence of age verification of candidates before hiring
Low priority	No supporting evidence of employee health and safety risk assessment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of grievance mechanism on discrimination and harassment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of grievance mechanism on working conditions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on discrimination and harassment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to prevent workplace harassment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to ensure adequate wages
Low priority	No supporting evidence of compensation for extra or atypical working hours

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

●50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 45001 certified

Improvement areas

Low priority Current labor and human rights certification(s) are insufficiently stringent in their requirements. The existing frameworks lack the necessary depth and comprehensive scope to effectively address all material issues within the theme

Labor & Human Rights | Coverage

Multiplying factor

●25/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It’s a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Improvement areas

High priority Supporting documents show a low level of coverage of labor and human rights actions or certification throughout company operations

High priority Labor and human rights management system certification covers less than 26% of the assessed scope

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

●75/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on the percentage of direct employees paid below living wage
Reporting on the percentage of women employed in relation to the whole organization
Reporting on number of average training hours per employee
Reporting in accordance with GRI Universal Standards
Reporting on number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health
Reporting on the percentage of women at top management level
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Comprehensive reporting on labor and human rights issues

Improvement areas

Medium priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the ratio of the annual total compensation of the highest-paid individual to the median annual total compensation for all employees
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the number of recordable work-related accidents

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● 100/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths

The 360° Watch has identified best practices and/or external recognition regarding labor and human rights issues (see 360° Watch section).

News that impacted your score (6)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

Austria's best employers for IT jobs 2026

at.great-jobs.org | 28 Jan 2026

In the new study by CHIP and Great Jobs identifying the top employers for IT professionals. Despite high demand for tech experts, only about twenty percent of over twelve hundred evaluated companies met the strict criteria. The rigorous evaluation used a comprehensive methodology, analyzing application processes, corporate transparency, and employee reviews on platforms like Kununu. Tyrolit, a prominent company in the automotive, mechanical, and plant engineering sector, emerged as a top performing employer outside the IT industry. Achieving an outstanding score of ninety percent, Tyrolit

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Positive ↗

Severity

Positive

valid from Jan 2026 to Feb 2031



The best employers in 2026: Ranking of large companies

at.great-jobs.org | 07 Nov 2025

Great Jobs and the Austrian Society for Consumer Studies surveyed employees via external panels to establish the 2026 ranking of Austria's top large employers. The study gathered detailed feedback on employer attractiveness and overall job satisfaction to ensure highly reliable results. TYROLIT achieved a score of 7,19.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Positive ↗

Severity

Positive

valid from Nov 2025 to Dec 2030



Engineering & Mechanical Engineering: The best employers

at.great-jobs.org | 01 Nov 2025

To highlight leading organizations, the 2026 employer study thoroughly examined major Austrian employers with at least 200 employees. A comprehensive dataset of approximately 200,000 evaluations was gathered from 910 companies, allowing staff members to rate each firm's overall attractiveness and public image. TYROLIT was recognized in the 'Best image' and 'Perfect for young professionals' categories.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Positive ↗

Severity

Positive

valid from Nov 2025 to Dec 2030



Europe’s Best Employers 2025 — interactive ranking

www.ft.com | 21 May 2025

Europe’s Best Employers 2025 conducts an independent survey of staff across 31 European nations. Targeting large companies with at least 500 employees in Europe across all sectors, the study considered over four million employer evaluations collected via ft.com and several online access panels to completely prevent corporate influence. Final scores are calculated using two main criteria: direct recommendations measuring an employee's willingness to endorse their own employer, and indirect recommendations for other industry peers. TYROLIT received a score of 85.00 and ranked 38th.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Positive ↗

Severity

Positive

valid from May 2025 to Jun 2030



Full support for the strike at Tyrolit (San Luis/Argentina) [DE]

klassenkampf.net | 09 Oct 2023

Today, Monday, October 2nd, a strike began at the Tyrolit factory in the city of San Luis. After weeks of meetings and appeals to management, the workers had decided to walk off the job on September 7th. The Provincial Labor Relations Program (formerly the Ministry of Labor) immediately issued a mandatory conciliation order, which suspended the strike and protected the employers for a while. After the conciliation period expired, the workers resumed their strike today.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Severity

Neutral

valid from Oct 2023 to Nov 2028



No records found for this company on Compliance Database

22 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Ethics | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● 75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Comprehensive policies on ethics issues
Policies on corruption
Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations
Ethics policy scope of application specified
Dedicated responsibility for ethics issues
Detailed examples available regarding ethics issues
Policy on information security
Policy on money laundering
Policy on conflict of interest

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of employee signature acknowledgement of ethics policy
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend ethics policy
Low priority	No supporting evidence of policies on fraud
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on ethics

Ethics | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● 50/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Improvement areas

Low priority	Current ethics endorsement(s) are too general. There is a lack of participation in high-impact, sector-specific initiatives that address the unique material issues of the company's industry
--------------	---

Ethics | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● 50/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Specific approval procedure for sensitive transactions (e.g. gifts, travel)
Awareness training to prevent information security breaches
Training of employees on corruption and bribery prevention
Audits of control procedures to prevent corruption
Audits of control procedures to prevent information security breaches

Improvement areas

High priority	No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments
High priority	No supporting evidence of information security risk assessments
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions regarding an effective whistleblower procedure to report corruption and bribery
Low priority	No supporting evidence of an anti-corruption due diligence program on third parties

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

Medium priority	No supporting evidence of ISO 27001 certification
Medium priority	No supporting evidence of ISO 37001 certification

Ethics | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 25/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It’s a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Improvement areas

High priority	No supporting documents on the coverage of ethics actions or certification throughout company operations
---------------	--

Ethics | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 0/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting in accordance with GRI Universal Standards
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

High priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on ethics issues
Medium priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Ethics | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

22 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●●○○

61/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Policy on conflict minerals issues
Sustainable procurement policy scope of application specified
Dedicated responsibility for sustainable procurement policy
Comprehensive sustainable procurement policies on both social and environmental factors

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend sustainable procurement policy

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

75/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)
Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Targets Set

Improvement areas

- Low priority

The company has yet to commit to top-tier sustainable procurement initiatives that integrate sector-specific issues with rigorous, science-based, or third-party verified constraints

Sustainable Procurement | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Formal assessment of suppliers' progress with regards to REACH requirements
Integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts
Supplier assessment on environmental and social practices
Risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain
Supplier sustainability code of conduct in place

Improvement areas

- Medium priority

No supporting evidence of on-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues
- Medium priority

No supporting evidence of the training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain
- Low priority

No supporting evidence of capacity building of suppliers on risks of adverse sustainability impacts
- Low priority

No supporting evidence of incentive programs for suppliers based on their environmental and social performances
- Low priority

No supporting evidence of sustainable procurement objectives integrated into buyer performance reviews
- Low priority

No supporting evidence of actions implemented to mitigate conflict minerals issues

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

- Low priority

No supporting evidence of certification regarding the sustainable procurement theme

Sustainable Procurement | Coverage

Multiplying factor

50/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It’s a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Strengths

50-100% of suppliers for which conflict minerals information is available (e.g. CMRT)

Improvement areas

- Medium priority

Supporting documents show a medium level of coverage of sustainable procurement actions throughout company operations or supplier base

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Publication of Conflict Minerals Reporting Template (e.g. CMRT, other type of template)
Reporting in accordance with GRI Universal Standards
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

High priority	Insufficient reporting on sustainable procurement issues
Medium priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database 22 Jul 2026	360° Watch Impact on Score Neutral Severity N/A valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031
--	---

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG – linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.