



Benchmarking Claims Management Performance

Rod McInnes and Steve Curley

Presentation to:
NSW Property & Liability Discussion Group
Wednesday, 16 July 2008





Scope of Presentation



1. Elements of Best Practice
2. Measuring Performance
3. Benchmarking Performance
4. Impact on Outcomes





Introduction



- Our assumption is that we all want to strive for “Best Practice”
- Productivity Commission in 2002, concluded it could not identify a single notion of “best practice” claims management
- Claims Branches often treated as a cost centre
- Finity has developed its concept of best practice based on
 - ▶ Our work with Australian & International Insurers and many Government schemes
 - Forensic and best practice claims file reviews
 - Detailed reviews of insurance operations
 - Development/review of claims management strategies and insurance business models



Key Elements of Best Practice Claims Management



- Claims Management Model
- Early Claims Reporting
- Triage and Segmentation
- Timely Effective Communication
- Proactive Management
- Identify Outliers
- Adequate and Skilled Resourcing
- Provider Management
- Systems

Details of each element will of course vary by the class of business



Claims Management Model



- Articulating and documenting your company's approach to claims management
- Providing guidance, direction and an overarching framework for claims staff
- **(Not a claims manual)**
- Claim management focused on outcomes and delivering to the company's bottom line (not a cost centre)
- Documenting expected claims outcomes and performance standards for claims staff
- Key basis for training / inducting new claims staff



Early Claims Reporting



- Early reporting critical – you can't manage claims if you don't know about them
- Numerous studies show average claims costs increase with reporting delay
- Claims with long reporting delays often have entrenched negative situations
- Timely reporting promoted to frontline staff, policyholders and brokers
- Systems in place to facilitate reporting



Triage & Segmentation



- Claims vary considerably in complexity and severity from minor straight forward claims to large complex matters
- Claims cannot be treated equally if you want to get the best outcomes
- Resources need to be allocated according to the complexity & severity of the claims to get the best outcomes





Timely Effective Communication



- Communication is key to influencing & managing claims outcomes
- Early contact with claimant is important for
 - ▶ aligning expectations
 - ▶ identifying and resolving issues before they become entrenched
- Use simple, clear language
- Systematic approach to communication
- Critical for avoiding litigation, minimising fraud, claims escalation and long term claims



Proactive Management



- Target outcome defined as part of claims management strategy for each significant claim
- Actively managing claims to the desired (and defined & known) target outcome
- Deliver on your obligations & promises
- Hold claimants and service providers to theirs
- Establish systems to ensure timely actions





Outlying Claims



- Identify claims not progressing to targeted outcomes, particularly “claims that have fallen through the cracks” and at risk of:
 - ▶ Becoming Disputed / Litigated
 - ▶ Being Over-budget / Delayed
 - ▶ Exceeding the expected cost
- Adjust claims management accordingly, including
 - ▶ Revising strategy
 - ▶ Adjusting resourcing
 - ▶ Consider use of service providers
- Continuous sweeps for outliers

File Loads

- Claims function must be adequately resourced to achieve the desired outcome
- Establish target file loads for claims staff (analytically or using benchmarks)
- Manage these 'targets' sensibly and regularly monitor outcomes

Staff Capability

- Claims staff need to have the skills and capability to manage the parties involved to the desired outcome
- Performance needs to be monitored against outcomes



Provider Management



Procurement

- Provider panel selection procedure
- Performance focused contract – outcome based fees
- All providers should have clearly defined objectives, target outcomes and service level agreements (SLAs) in place

Management

- Provider should be tightly managed by the Claims Officer to the SLA
- Provider performance monitored against SLA and outcomes
- It is critical that responsibility & control is retained by the Claims Officer
- Specialist tasks should be allocated to providers where they can add value but not the overall responsibility – this stays with the Claims Officer (critical)

- Good claims management systems can enhance efficiency & effectiveness
- Most classes have specialist requirements
- Systems need to be specifically designed to service **your** claims model
- Leadings systems deliver
 - ▶ Decision support
 - ▶ Process / Workflow support
 - ▶ Interconnectivity with key providers



Measuring Performance



- Understanding performance needs measurement & assessment
- In some cases simple quantitative measures are sufficient (but are not always done)
- More often performance is complex & can only be measured using both quantitative and qualitative assessments, often using multiple measures
 - ▶ Eg file reviews
- Lets look at some examples





Measuring Claim Reporting



Simple quantitative measures

Measures =

Report Date – Date of Loss

Time of report – Time policyholder first aware

Monitor average and median delay

Measuring Resourcing



- Simple to measure resource levels quantitatively

Measure =

$$\frac{\text{Open claims numbers}}{\text{FTE claims staff numbers}}$$

- But equally important to assess the quality of the staff
 - ▶ Best measured based on outcomes.



Measuring Proactive Management



- Simple to develop quantitative measures for timeliness of key tasks, eg
 - ▶ Decisions on indemnity and liability
 - ▶ Appointment of service providers
- More important that the decisions are appropriate, consistent with your claims management strategy and conveyed properly to the parties
- Again these must be assessed qualitatively – files reviews for a sample of claims



Benchmarking Performance



- External benchmarks
 - ▶ Your performance relative to identified best practice attributes
 - From research or someone who has done the research
 - Best practice is not static
- Internal benchmarks
 - ▶ Portfolio segment performance relative to portfolio average, eg:
 - Claims team / branch
 - Claims officer
 - Broker / service provider
 - Major clients
 - ▶ Monitor trends over time



Benchmarking Claim Reporting



Loss Date to Report Date

- Benchmarks

Property	1 Day
Liability	2 Days

Time Policyholder aware to Time of Report

- Benchmarks

Property	< 12 hours
Liability	24 hours



Benchmarking Resourcing



Case Loads

- Benchmarks

Property	200 – 250 files
Liability	80 – 150 files
- Range covers different claims management models (eg extent of use of external providers)
- Need to consider the appropriate benchmark for your model



Benchmarking Proactive Management



- Regular file review of sample from each claims officer (at least quarterly)
- Review undertaken by experienced senior claims officer (but ideally not the claims officer's team leader)
- Qualitative assessment of key decisions and actions in the context of
 - ▶ Your claims management model
 - ▶ Agreed performance standards
 - ▶ Provider SLAs
 - ▶ Expected outcomes for the claim
- Results tabulated, feedback to claims staff, tracked over time and a key component of staff performance assessment



Impact of Best Practice on Outcomes



- Overall company results are predominantly driven by claims costs
 - ▶ A quantifiable and relatively quick impact
- Delivering a best practice claims management model costs more in claims handling expenses

But

- It has been shown to produce substantial savings in claims costs
 - ▶ We have often seen examples of better practices delivering claims costs savings of 15% - 25%
- Claims cost savings will substantially outweigh increases in expenses (cost benefit studies)





In Summary



- **Have a claims management model** that has executive buy-in and is well understood by the claims staff
- **Have a proactive not a reactive culture** and positively manage claims
 - ▶ Reporting, segmentation, communication, outcome driven
- As with all things in insurance, **it is people that make the difference**
 - ▶ Skill development, manage case loads, qualitatively assess individuals case loads
- To tie it all together **Measure and Monitor**